

**SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY GROUP DATA EXCHANGE
INSTITUTIONAL SUMMARY FORM
FALL 2006**

INSTITUTION: University of Arkansas

RESPONDENT: Kathy Van Laningham

Instructions: In Parts I and II, provide the numbers and percents as appropriate. In Part III, summarize highlights of significant events/situations at your institution during the past year and, when available, as anticipated in the following year. Use telegraphic words/phrases. Add additional lines as necessary.

Part I: Preliminary Enrollments (Headcount/FTE - gains/losses) for Fall 2006

	Number	Percent	Increase/Decrease from previous fall	
			Number	Percent
Fall 2006				
a) Undergraduate Headcount	<u>14,353</u>	<u>80.1%</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>0.5%</u>
(Included in (a) above):				
Entering Freshman Headcount (fall entrants)	<u>2,784</u>	<u>19.4%</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>0.7%</u>
Entering Freshman Headcount (summer + fall entrants)	<u></u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u></u>	<u>#DIV/0!</u>
Total Out-of-State Headcount	<u>2,922</u>	<u>20.4%</u>	<u>363</u>	<u>14.2%</u>
b) Undergraduate FTE	<u>12,664</u>	<u>84.8%</u>	<u>163</u>	<u>1.3%</u>
c) Graduate/First Prof. Headcount	<u>3,576</u>	<u>19.9%</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>1.0%</u>
(Included in (c) above):				
Total Out-of-State Headcount	<u>1,337</u>	<u>37.4%</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>5.0%</u>
d) Graduate/First Prof. FTE	<u>2,278</u>	<u>15.2%</u>	<u>-41</u>	<u>(1.8%)</u>
Total Headcount (a+c)	<u>17,929</u>	<u></u>	<u>108</u>	<u>0.6%</u>
Total FTE (b+d)	<u>14,942</u>	<u></u>	<u>122</u>	<u>0.8%</u>
Enrollment Headcount by Ethnicity				
White	<u>14,348</u>	<u>80.0%</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>0.7%</u>
Black	<u>946</u>	<u>5.3%</u>	<u>-36</u>	<u>(3.7%)</u>
Hispanic	<u>447</u>	<u>2.5%</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>20.2%</u>
Asian	<u>446</u>	<u>2.5%</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0.2%</u>
Amer Indian/Alaskan Native	<u>328</u>	<u>1.8%</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0.3%</u>
Non-resident alien	<u>951</u>	<u>5.3%</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>7.1%</u>
Unknown	<u>463</u>	<u>2.6%</u>	<u>-99</u>	<u>(17.6%)</u>
Other: _____	<u>0</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>0</u>	<u></u>
	17,929			

Part II: Faculty/Staff Salary Increases for 2006-07

	% Change	Effective Date	Comments
Faculty	<u>3.0%</u>	<u>7/1/2006</u>	<u>Merit based</u>
Staff	<u>3.0%</u>	<u>7/1/2006</u>	<u>Across the board; merit for non-classified staf</u>

Part III: Significant Events/Situations(i.e., funding changes, financial outlook, and other) - insert additional rows as needed

A new funding formula for higher education was adopted in the last regular session. While not fully funded, it does attempt to acknowledge mission differences in institutions. A special session is anticipated to address a recent report by two masters on behalf of the Arkansas Supreme Court that states that the General Assembly did not put K-12 public ed as its top priority and cites both higher ed funding and the funding of local pet projects as evidence of that finding.

Peer Group Universities:

Alabama	Georgia	Massachusetts	Oklahoma	Texas Tech
Arizona	Georgia Tech	Michigan	Oklahoma State	UC Berkeley
Arizona State	Illinois	Michigan State	Oregon	UCLA
Auburn	Indiana	Minnesota	Oregon State	Virginia
Clemson	Iowa	Mississippi	Penn State	Virginia Tech
Colorado	Iowa State	Mississippi State	Purdue	Washington
Colorado State	Kansas	Missouri	Rhode Island	Washington State
Connecticut	Kansas State	Nebraska	South Carolina	West Virginia
Delaware	Kentucky	North Carolina	Tennessee	Wisconsin
Florida	Louisiana State	North Carolina State	Texas	
Florida State	Maryland	Ohio State	Texas A&M	